

| | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/356,600 | DUANE ET AL. |
| | Examiner Taghi T. Arani | Art Unit 2131 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Eric L. Prah

(1) Taghi T. Arani.

(3) _____.

(2) Eric L. Prah.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

101 issue with respect to claim 1 was raised and discussed. Mr. Prah agreed to amend the claim. Agreed to cancel claim 11.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)